

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,427	10/06/2004	Klaus Schneider	298-253	8855
28249 DILWORTH &	7590 09/21/2007		EXAM	INER ·
333 EARLE O	VINGTON BLVD.		PRAKASAM, RAMYA G	
SUITE 702 UNIONDALE,	NY 11553		ART.UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			09/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/510,427	SCHNEIDER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Ramya G. Prakasam	3651			
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MADE THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep unication. Itutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. If you be timely filed If som the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>02 July 2007</i> .				
	②b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
·	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	·			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	the correction is required if the drawing(s)	•			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	of the priority documents have been re				
application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
	·				
	(3)				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmairy (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948) Paper No(s)/	Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application .·			

Application/Control Number: 10/510,427

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on 7/2/2007 has been entered.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nishioka (E.P. 1,314,681).

Nishioka discloses an automated oscillation controlled crane (See Figure 1) as per the claimed invention.

In particular, Nishioka discloses a crane or excabator (See Figure 1), which comprises a load cable (See Figure 1); a turning mechanism (See Figure 1); a seesaw mechanism (See Figure 1); a hoisting gear (See Figure 1); and track control system (See Paragraph 142) whose starting points go directly or indirectly into a control system (See Paragraph 143), whereas set points for the track control system are generated (See Paragraphs 144-166).

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/2/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that the track control system calculates control voltages) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). It is

Ē. ...

Application/Control Number: 10/510,427

Art Unit: 3651

only necessary in the claims that starting points go directly or indirectly into the control system and that set points are generated, and these limitations are met.

6. Therefore, the claims stand rejected.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramya G. Prakasam whose telephone number is (571) 272-6011. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:30am-7pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

9/17/2007 RGP

GENZ D. CIKAWFORD SUPERVIZORY PAYENT EXAMINER